

Ad hoc working group on Kyoto Protocol

ISSUES ON THE TABLE	DECISIONS TAKEN
Decision on the length of the second commitment period	Eight years—from January 1, 2013 to December 31, 2020. But the targets to reduce greenhouse gas emissions are very weak
Whether to trade surplus allowances or Assigned Amount Units (AAUs) from the first commitment period to the second commitment period	Decision not to trade surplus AAUs in the second commitment period
Decision on whether to allow countries not party to the second commitment period of the Kyoto Protocol to use carbon offsets from Clean Development Mechanism, or CDM projects towards meeting their emissions reduction commitments	Countries that are not party to the second commitment period will not be allowed access to certified emissions reduction, or CERs

Ad hoc working group on Durban Platform (ADP)

ISSUES ON THE TABLE	DECISIONS TAKEN
Expand scope of work stream I, on what the post-2020 framework should look like	ADP decided to hold roundtables and workshops in 2013 to work towards the post-2020 framework
Expand scope of work stream II, on how to enhance ambition in the pre-2020 period	Decision to “identify and explore in 2013 options for a range of actions that can close the pre-2020 ambition gap with a view to identifying its plan of work in 2014” and hold roundtables and workshops in 2013 and produce a technical paper compiling information on mitigation benefits in 2012

Ad hoc working group on Long-Term Cooperative Action (LCA)

ISSUES ON THE TABLE	DECISIONS TAKEN
Long-term finance	No decision on how the goal of US \$100 billion per year by 2020 will be achieved. A work programme extended to 2013 end to discuss how the funds will be mobilised
Technology transfer	Diluted mention of Intellectual Property Rights. Decision states that the Technology Executive Committee should “initiate the exploration of issues relating to enabling environments and barriers...”
Adaptation	A meagre mention that the bodies “under the Convention will continue their work to enhance action on adaptation...”
Loss and damage	Decision on establishing “institutional arrangements such as institutional mechanism...to address loss and damage...”
Shared vision, including issues such as peaking year for developed and developing countries	Parties are to only attain a global peaking of emissions at the earliest; the timeframe for peaking will be longer in developing countries
Cooperative sectoral approaches, including emissions under aviation and maritime sectors	Stronger text on sectoral approaches deleted in the final version of the draft text. The only caution in the draft text is that such measures “should not constitute a means of arbitrary or unjustifiable discrimination...”
REDD (Reducing emissions from deforestation and forest degradation in developing countries)	No decision. The issue will be taken up for discussion under the subsidiary body